



Australian Indigenous HealthInfoNet

Alcohol and Other Drugs
Knowledge Centre



Smoking among disadvantaged and vulnerable groups

Presenter

Dr Veronica Boland

Postdoctoral Research Fellow

National Drug and Alcohol Research Centre UNSW

Sydney, NSW

Thursday 6 February 2020

Core funding
is provided by the
Australian Government
Department of Health



Operated by
Australian Indigenous
HealthInfoNet





Australian Indigenous HealthInfoNet

Alcohol and Other Drugs
Knowledge Centre



Acknowledgement of Country

We would like to acknowledge the Traditional Owners
of the land we are standing on today,
the Whadjuk people of the Nyoongar nation,
and pay our respects to Elders past, present and future.

Core funding
is provided by the
Australian Government
Department of Health



Operated by
Australian Indigenous
HealthInfoNet



Smoking among disadvantaged and vulnerable groups

Dr Veronica Boland

Postdoctoral Research Fellow, NDARC, UNSW, Sydney Australia

Declaration of interests

- None to declare



Smoking tobacco

- Health risks are almost completely from tobacco smoke (as opposed to nicotine)
- Smokers should be encouraged to stop smoking completely
 - Cardiovascular risks associated with smoking just 1-2 cigarettes per day
- Nicotine replacement is a harm reduction approach



Disease burden - Australia

- 3 million aged 14 or over smoke
- No change in smoking rates between 2013-2016
- Social inequalities
 - Socially disadvantaged and low income groups are not quitting at the same rate as other Australians
- ~\$31 billion social costs annually
- Pack (20) = ~AUS\$(30)

Smoking and disadvantage

- NDSHS data found no significant change (2013 to 2016) in Australian smoking rates despite multiple and prolonged tax increases
- Disadvantaged population groups:
 - disproportionately higher smoking rate
 - suffer more from tobacco related diseases
 - discontinue cessation treatments earlier &
 - face additional challenges in quitting

Source(s): National Drug Strategy Household Survey (NDSHS) 2017

Barriers to quitting

- Nicotine addiction / higher dependence
- Smokers in their social circles
- Multiple stressors
- Retail density / access to cigarettes
- Non-adherence to smoking cessation medications
- Financial stress (FS):
 - Smokers with high FS are less likely to quit
 - Ex-smokers with high FS are more likely to relapse
 - Interventions targeting FS may increase cessation

Aboriginal specific barriers to quitting


- Personal, social, cultural and environmental factors:
 - Stress (multiple life stressors)
 - Grief (smoking-related bereavement)
 - Limited knowledge about quitting
 - Lack of culturally relevant quitting resources
 - Social pressure to smoke
 - Social exclusion when quitting
 - Lack of role models

Source(s): Dawson et al. 2012 Int J Equity Health
Dawson et al. 2012 BMC Health Serv Res
DiGiacomo et al. 2007 Aust NZ J Public Health
Fletcher et al. 2011 Health Policy

Current treatments & services

- Quitline use is low among smokers:
 - ~2% of US
 - ~3% Australian
- Australian prescriptions for quitting – 2017/18
 - ~ 301k varenicline (Champix) (\$30.3 mill)
 - ~ 207k Nicotine patch (\$8.5 mill)
 - ~ 21k bupropion (Zyban) (\$1.8 mill)

Aboriginal specific resources and services



Deadly Choices
(online resource)

<https://deadlychoices.com.au/programs/quit-now/>

Can't even quit (mobile
phone app)

<https://aodknowledgecentre.ecu.edu.au/key-resources/programs-and-projects/3856/?title=Can%27t%20Even%20Quit>

Cessation interventions targeting disadvantaged groups

- Limited number of Australian smoking cessation RCTs
- Overall methodological quality of studies is low

Journal & year	Population	N	Results
Preventive Med 2018	Social services	431	No effect
Nicotine Tob Res 2014	Psychotic disorder	205	No effect
BMC Public Health 2014	Indigenous	163	No effect
Addiction 2013	Prisoners	425	No effect
Med J Aust 2012	Indigenous	263	No effect
Am J Psychiatry 2006	Psychotic disorder	298	No effect

Source(s): Bonevski et al. 2018 Preventive Medicine
Courtney et al. 2015 Int J Environ Res Public Health
Bryant et al. 2011 Addiction
Michie et al. 2009 J Epidemiol Community Health

A randomized clinical trial of a financial education intervention with nicotine replacement therapy (NRT) for low socio-economic status Australian smokers: a study protocol

Ryan J. Courtney¹, Deborah Bradford¹, Kristy A. Martire^{1,2}, Billie Bonevski³, Ron Borland⁴, Christopher Doran³, Wayne Hall⁵, Michael Farrell¹, Mohammad Siahpush⁶, Rob Sanson-Fisher³, Robert West⁷ & Richard P. Mattick¹

National Drug and Alcohol Research Centre, Medicine, University of New South Wales (UNSW), Sydney, NSW, Australia,¹ School of Psychology, UNSW, Sydney, NSW, Australia,² School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, NSW, Australia,³ Centre for Behavioural Research in Cancer, Cancer Council Victoria, Carlton, Vic, Australia,⁴ UQ Centre for Clinical Research, University of Queensland, Herston, Qld, Australia,⁵ College of Public Health, University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE, USA⁶ and UCL Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University College London, London, UK⁷

FISCALS - 'Supporting Smokers to Quit Study'

Aim: To test the efficacy of a financial education and support program with free nicotine replacement therapy (NRT) at reducing financial stress and increasing smoking cessation rates among socioeconomically disadvantaged smokers.

Participants: 18+, smoked 10+/day, Centrelink recipient (proxy for low-income)

FISCALS - demographics

Demographics	
Age (mean years)	46
Female	53%
Indigenous	7%
Primary only or some high school education	43%
Separated/divorced	30%
Children in household (<18 years)	36%
Smoking entrenched in social circles	
Partner or spouse smoker	57%
>1 adult smoking in household (not including partner/ spouse)	58%
Most or all of closest friends smoke	41%

FISCALS - demographics cont.

	n = 1047
Lack of financial freedom	
Expenditure on tobacco (\$ per week)	\$90
Unemployed/ not working	85%
Personal Income (<\$579 before tax per week)	79%
Government pension or allowance received	
Newstart allowance	28%
Disability pension	36%
Carer payment or allowance	8%
Other	31%
≥ 1 person in household on pension/ allowance (excluding participant)	73%

FISCALS - Results

Financial stress	n = 1047	Mean score
In the last month because of a lack of money:		0 = Not at all, 10 = Extremely stressed
Asked for financial help from friends or family	53%	8.1
Could not pay bills	41%	8.4
Asked for help from a welfare/ community organisation	33%	7.9
Went without meals	29%	6.9
Could not pay the mortgage/ rent	16%	8.8
Pawned/sold something	28%	7.7
Unable to heat the home	14%	7.1
Spent money on cigarettes and went without household essentials e.g. food in the last month	43%	-

FISCALS - Results cont.

- Randomised 1047 participants
 - 32% Quitline, 23% Centrelink, 31% advertisements & 13% word of mouth
- 84% retention rate at 8-month follow-up
- Quit rate by treatment arm:

Outcome	Prevalence (%)		ITT analyses (n=1047)		Per-protocol analyses (n=771)	
	Control	Intervention	Odds ratio (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	Odds ratio (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value
Verified prolonged cessation	5.0%	5.9%	0.84 (0.44, 1.59)	<i>p</i> =0.588	0.79 (0.37, 1.67)	<i>p</i> =0.529

RESEARCH

Open Access

"I'm not strong enough; I'm not good enough. I can't do this, I'm failing": a qualitative study of low-socioeconomic status smokers' experiences with accessing cessation support and the role for alternative technology-based support



Veronica C. Boland^{1*}, Richard P. Mattick¹, Hayden McRobbie², Mohammad Siahpush³ and Ryan J. Courtney¹

FISCALS - Qualitative Study

Aim:

To explore low-SES smokers' recent quitting experiences, assess the factors that impact treatment engagement, and examine the acceptability of alternative smoking cessation support



FISCALS - Qualitative design

Method:



5 ex-smokers and 19 smokers participated in a focus group or individual interview



Thematic analysis was conducted



Analysis was deductive from the interview guide and supplemented inductively



Patterns were observed in the data and codes grouped into themes

Feedback from FISCALS smokers



Smoker related stigma

Guilt and shame



Identity was a motivator that promoted or undermined quitting:

Positive smoker identity

Ex-smoker identity



Motivational influences & quitting strategies differed by:

Positive smoker identity

Ex-smoker identity

Feedback from low-SES smokers cont.

- Need for alternative to Quitline support
- Receptive to mobile phone-based platforms
- E-cigarettes:
 - perceived 'unsafe' due to their legality;
 - Lack of information about use, safety and efficacy;
 - Would 'try' if offered



"Actually too, there's the whole other thing of it being a part of your identity for so long. This is your... this is just part of your personality or something."

(Female, Smoker)

"I think it's important to emphasise that it would be interactive texting as opposed to just receiving a message."

(Female, Smoker)

"I was walking along the footpath with a cigarette talking on my phone and someone at the table screamed out, 'You can't smoke four metres from food being served'."

(Male, Smoker)

"Because if you're calling Quitline then you're accepting defeat of some form. People just don't like doing that stuff."

(Male, Ex-smoker)

E-cigarettes for smoking cessation



Nicotine replacement using e-cigarettes

- Provides a clean source of nicotine
- Deliver nicotine swiftly and effectively to reduce nicotine withdrawal symptoms
- Cost significantly less than tobacco
- Appear to be less harmful than smoking tobacco, but:
 - Most vapers are current or former smokers
 - There is currently no evidence that vaping is associated with disease, **BUT** they have not been around for long enough to observe this association¹

Current evidence and implications

- 3 RCTs provide limited evidence
- More data is needed
- NHMRC CEO Statement (April 2017):
“..there is currently insufficient evidence to support claims that e-cigarettes are safe, and further research is needed to enable their long-term safety, quality and efficacy to be assessed.”

Vaping in Australia

- Devices are legal to purchase except to minors
- Nicotine is a scheduled 7 dangerous poison unless approved for therapeutic use (NRT) or tobacco prepared for smoking (cigarettes)
- Sale and possession of liquid nicotine is illegal without a valid prescription:
 - Prepared from a compounding pharmacy
 - TGA Personal Importation Scheme allows 3 months supply at a time with a valid script

A randomised clinical trial comparing e-cigarettes to nicotine replacement therapy for smoking cessation among low-SES smokers

Aim and Design

Aim: Assess the effectiveness, safety & cost-effectiveness of e-cigarettes compared to NRT on 6-months verified abstinence among low-SES Australian smokers

Participants: 1058 (529 in each arm)

Study design: Single-blind randomised clinical trial

Recruitment: Print and online advertising

Data collection:

- Baseline & 7-month follow-up via Contract Research Organisation
- Safety & adherence via 2 calls by NDARC team
- Health economics via data linkage with MBS & PBS

Eligibility

Inclusion criteria

- Government pension or allowance (proxy low-SES)
- ≥ 18 years of age
- Current daily smoker
- Want to quit
- Willing to use VNP or NRT
- Verbal informed consent
- Access to a telephone
- Willing to complete study procedures

Exclusion criteria

- Pregnant or breastfeeding
- Current use of cessation medications
- Participating in another program
- Hospitalised for heart related conditions (stroke/heart attack) in last 2-weeks

Study arms

- **Intervention arm:**
 - 2 devices + 8 weeks of 18mg/ml e-liquid (tobacco/menthol/strawberry/mixed)
 - Behavioural quit support
- **Control arm:**
 - 8 weeks supply of 4mg NRT (gum or lozenge)
 - Behavioural quit support
- **Medication delivery:**
 - Medication provided and mailed for free
 - Express registered post (next day delivery)

Outcomes and implications

- **Outcomes of interest:**
 - Assess differences in adverse (AE) and serious adverse events (SAE) by treatment group
 - Assess differences in 6-month verified abstinence by treatment group (CO confirmed; ≤ 9 ppm)
- **Implications:**
 - Provide evidence for the role of e-cigarettes on cessation
 - Provide cost-effectiveness of e-cigarettes compared to NRT
 - Safety of e-cigarette use compared to NRT

Significance

- Contribute to a regulatory framework that is based on evidenced-based research
- May lead to the adoption of e-cigarettes as a cessation aid in disadvantaged populations who smoke at higher rates & encounter barriers when quitting



Acknowledgements

- NHMRC Project Grant funding
- The NDARC team & investigators
- NDARC is supported by funding from the Australian Government





Australian Indigenous HealthInfoNet

Alcohol and Other Drugs
Knowledge Centre



Thank you!

Dr Veronica Boland
National Drug and Alcohol Research Centre
Email: v.boland@unsw.edu.au

Vilma FitzGerald
Australian Indigenous HealthInfoNet
Email: v.fitzGerald@ecu.edu.au

Core funding
is provided by the
Australian Government
Department of Health



Operated by
Australian Indigenous
HealthInfoNet





Australian Indigenous HealthInfoNet

Alcohol and Other Drugs
Knowledge Centre



AOD Knowledge Centre contact details

Alcohol and Other Drugs Knowledge Centre
Australian Indigenous HealthInfoNet
Edith Cowan University
2 Bradford Street
Mt Lawley WA 6050
Ph: (08) 9370 6336

Email: aodknowledgecentre@healthinonet.org.au

Website: <https://aodknowledgecentre.ecu.edu.au>

Newsletter subscription: <https://healthinonet.ecu.edu.au/key-resources/newsletters/>

Core funding
is provided by the
Australian Government
Department of Health



Operated by
Australian Indigenous
HealthInfoNet

