

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Closing the sepsis gap: an exploration of sepsis presentations at a remote north Australian emergency department

AUTHORS

John James van Bockxmeer¹ MpHTM, MBBS, District Medical Officer *Amith Shetty² PhD, MBBS, Research Co-Director and Medical LeadTim Robertson³ MBBS, Resident Medical OfficerYarlalu Thomas⁴ BSC, Aboriginal Precision Public Health Fellow

CORRESPONDENCE

*Dr John James van Bockxmeer jvbockxmeer@hotmail.com

AFFILIATIONS

¹ Western Australian Country Health Service, Hedland Health Campus, WA 6722, Australia² Western Sydney Local Health District, Westmead Hospital, Sydney, NSW 2145, Australia³ Child and Adolescent Health Service, Perth Children's Hospital, Perth, WA 6009, Australia⁴ Western Australian Register of Developmental Anomalies, Western Australian Department of Health, Perth, WA 6849, Australia

PUBLISHED

15 September 2021 Volume 21 Issue 3

HISTORY

RECEIVED: 25 March 2020

REVISED: 28 June 2021

ACCEPTED: 9 July 2021

CITATION

Van Bockxmeer JJ, Shetty A, Robertson T, Thomas Y. Closing the sepsis gap: an exploration of sepsis presentations at a remote north Australian emergency department. Rural and Remote Health 2021; 21: 5979. <https://doi.org/10.22605/RRH5979>

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Licence

ABSTRACT:

Introduction: The objective of this study was to explore the demographic characteristics, disease specifics and outcomes of

adult patients with suspected sepsis presenting to a remote Australian emergency department (ED). A retrospective,

uninterrupted time series audit of ED patients presenting with suspected sepsis was conducted. A total of 189 remote presentations were reviewed based on the time of clinician identification of sepsis.

Methods: Retrospective cohort analysis was performed for all adult patients with suspected or confirmed sepsis.

Results: A majority of patients presenting with sepsis to a remote hospital were Indigenous (61.9%) with a large proportion (34.9%) presenting by ambulance. Median age was 50 years. Indigenous patients (44.7%, 95%CI 34.1–55.9) were more likely to meet the quick Sequential (sepsis-related) Organ Failure Assessment criteria

Keywords:

Australia, emergency services, Indigenous health, infectious disease, rural health service, sepsis.

FULL ARTICLE:

Introduction

Sepsis is defined as life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by a dysregulated host response to infection and is a leading cause of death and hospitalisation worldwide¹. Recent iterations of sepsis definitions have promulgated the quick Sequential (sepsis-related) Organ Failure Assessment (qSOFA) tool to identify patients with suspected infection at much higher risk for adverse outcomes¹.

There is increasing evidence for protocolised management and outcomes from sepsis in the Australian environment including the recent Sepsis Kills program in emergency departments (EDs)^{2–4}. There are limited data from remote and regional hospitals and, in particular, Indigenous populations⁵. The available evidence demonstrates increased rates of sepsis in adult Indigenous patients in northern Australia and increased intensive care (ICU) admissions for Indigenous paediatric septic patients across the country^{5,6}.

Further research is needed to explore the epidemiology of sepsis in Indigenous populations. This knowledge could critically inform the deployment of sepsis pathways that aim to standardise interventions across the entire healthcare continuum.

The objective of this study was to examine the epidemiology and outcomes of Indigenous Australian patients with sepsis presenting to a remote ED in Western Australia (SA).

Methods

The Audit of Sepsis in Hedland (ASH-remote) study is a retrospective, uninterrupted time series audit analysis.

The Hedland Health Campus (HHC) is a remote hospital, treating approximately 28 000 annual ED presentations, with general inpatient and high-dependency units. The centre is 1636 km by road or a 120-minute commercial flight to the nearest tertiary hospital in Perth, WA. The HHC services a regional Pilbara population of 66 000, of whom 12% identify as Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait islander⁷.

The study aimed to assess the epidemiology, management and health system implications for septic patients in the Pilbara region.

compared to non-Indigenous patients (27.1% 95%CI 16.6–41.0) ($p=0.05$ 95%CI –1.1–34.3) with higher rates of critical care admission (34.2% v 10.4%) (difference 23.8, $p=0.003$, 95%CI 7.7–37.5). Congruent with previous research, Indigenous status did not confer a difference in sepsis mortality (12.1% v 11.8%, $p=0.91$).

Conclusion: Remote Indigenous patients have worse clinical sepsis scores, are more likely to present by ambulance and require skin and soft tissue source control. This cohort has higher lactate values and critical care requirements but similar mortality rates. Improving access to culturally safe medical care could address this disparity.

De-identified, secured data were extracted from the WA Health patient administration systems (PAS) and sorted by clinical coding characteristics.

The study population included all adult patients presenting to the HHC ED between 1 January 2010 and 31 December 2016 with clinician-diagnosed sepsis. Demographic, physiological, pathological and treatment data were collected using manual case review and clinical reporting systems. The data included a review of the self-identification of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander (Indigenous) status. Incoming aeromedical retrievals with an existing diagnosis of sepsis and any patient under the age of 16 years were excluded from analysis.

Observations and results comprising a SOFA score, qSOFA score and systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) criteria were recorded at initial assessment and at point of clinician recognition of sepsis. Outcome data was compiled manually by reviewing discharge information, clinical notes, discharge summaries and death certificates.

Statistical analysis

A retrospective cohort analysis was performed by the authors for all patients of 16 years or more with suspected or confirmed sepsis based on locally applied SIRS screening algorithms and clinician gestalt. Statistical software (MedCalc v18; <http://www.medcalc.org>) was used for the analysis. Tests for normality were conducted on all variables, and medians and interquartile ranges are reported for variables with non-normal distribution.

Ethics approval

The project received West Australian Country Health Service ethics committee approval (HREC #2015/36) and approval from the Western Australian Aboriginal Health Ethics Committee (HREC 685).

Results

Patient demographics on presentation

Patients presenting to a remote ED with clinician-diagnosed sepsis

($n=189$) had a median age of 50.5 years. This was anecdotally younger than in the broader Australian context. An Indigenous subcohort was non-significantly younger (median 48.0 years v 59.5 years; interquartile range 45.0–68.0, $p=0.12$) (Table 1).

Indigenous patients constituted 61.9% of the cohort presenting with sepsis, and 54.8% were male, with a modest proportion (34.9%) arriving by ambulance. Indigenous patients constituted 75% of those with sepsis who arrived by ambulance to the remote ED.

Table 1: Patient characteristics and outcomes for a remote emergency department septic cohort

Variable	ASH total (IQR) $n=124$	Non-Indigenous (IQR) $n=48$	Indigenous (IQR) $n=76$	Difference Indigenous v non-Indigenous (95%CI) p -value
Period of recruitment	20/11/2011–27/12/2016			
Age, years median (IQR)	50.5 (41.0–65.5)	59.5 (45.0–68.0)	48.0 (41.0–64.0)	5 (–2–12) $p=0.12$
Lactate level, mmol/L median (IQR)	2.0 (1.2–3.1) $n=103$	1.7 (1.1–2.3) $n=41$	2.2 (1.3–3.4) $n=62$	0.5 (–1.1–0.0) $p=0.04^*$
Total SIRS value median (IQR)	2.0 (2.0–3.0)	2.0 (1.0–2.0)	2.0 (2.0–3.0)	0.0 (–1.0–0.0) $p=0.002^{**}$
SIRS ≥ 2 incidence n (% 95%CI)	100 (80.7, 72.8–86.6)	31 (64.6, 50.4–76.6)	69 (90.8, 82.2–95.5)	26.2 (10.3–42.3) $p=0.003^{**}$
Mortality n (% 95%CI)	15 (12.1, 7.5–19.0)	6 (12.5, 5.9–24.7)	9 (11.8, 6.4–21.0)	0.7 (–11.5–14.9) $p=0.91$
Any critical care outcome n (% 95%CI)	31 (25.0, 18.2–33.3)	5 (10.4, 4.5–22.2)	26 (34.2, 24.5–45.4)	23.8 (7.7–37.5) $p=0.003^{**}$
qSOFA incidence n (% 95%CI)	47 (37.9, 29.9–46.7)	13 (27.1, 16.6–41.0)	34 (44.7, 34.1–55.9)	17.6 (–1.1–34.3) $p=0.05^*$
Mortality amongst qSOFA positive patients N/n (% 95%CI)	12/47 (25.5, 15.3–39.5)	5/13 (38.5, 17.7–64.5)	7/34 (20.6, 10.4–36.8)	17.9 (–8.4–45.9) $p=0.21$
Death age, years Median (IQR)	67.0 (52.8–77.0)	61.0 (55.0–85.0)	69 (41.0–75.0)	9 (–18.0–22.0) $p=0.68$

* $p<0.05$, ** $p<0.01$, *** $p<0.001$
ASH, Audit of Sepsis in Hedland. CI, confidence interval. IQR, interquartile range. qSOFA, quick Sequential (sepsis-related) Organ Failure Assessment. SIRS, systemic inflammatory response syndrome.

Clinical severity: 80.7% of patients were likely to meet two or more SIRS criteria and 37.9% of these had qSOFA scores ≥ 2 . Although a soft biomarker for severity, lactate levels were higher for Indigenous patients (2.2 v 1.7 mmol/L). Indigenous patients had higher clinical sepsis scores evidenced by both surrogate markers (SIRS ≥ 2 and qSOFA ≥ 2) (Table 1).

Outcomes: There was a mortality rate of 12.5%, with an average death age of 67.0 years. Indigenous patients had slightly lower mortality rates (11.5% v 12.8%) than non-Indigenous patients; this was not statistically significant (95% confidence interval (CI) –11.5–14.9, $p=0.91$). Critical care admission rate of more than 72 hours was 25%. This was defined as either tertiary intensive care

unit (ICU) admission in Perth involving aeromedical retrieval or local Hedland HDU admission under rural generalist anaesthetics and speciality physician-led inpatient care. Death was strongly correlated with a qSOFA score of ≥ 2 (odds ratio (OR) 7.69, 95%CI 1.53–38.67, $p=0.01$). Indigenous patients required 23.8% higher rates of critical care; this result was statistically significant (95%CI 7.7 to 37.5, $p=0.003$) (OR 3.62, 95%CI 1.02–12.8, $p=0.05$). Critical care admission was strongly correlated with a qSOFA score of ≥ 2 (OR 26.8, 95%CI 7.00–102.4, $p\leq 0.001$).

Aetiology: Septic patients had high rates of urine, skin and soft tissue and orthopaedic sources (Table 2).

Table 2: Aetiology of remote sepsis

Known source of sepsis	n (%)
Respiratory	34 (27.0)
Urinary	24 (19.0)
Skin and soft tissue	16 (12.7)
Other (dental/genital/pyrexia of unknown origin/bloodstream)	10 (7.9)
Abdominal	9 (7.1)
Orthopaedic	4 (3.2)
Central nervous system	0 (0)
Febrile neutropenia (unknown source)	1 (0.8)
Unknown /not recorded/ multiple	28 (22.2)

Discussion

This research describes a patient cohort presenting to a remote Australian ED with suspected sepsis. Indigenous patients had

higher ambulance utilisation than non-Indigenous patients and required higher rates of invasive critical care (ICU/HDU) interventions. Despite significant differences in presenting illness, severity mortality rates were similar. This difference could reflect a

younger average age of patients in the Indigenous subcohort, but needs to be further investigated.

Possible contributing barriers to hospital presentation include multigenerational trauma, institutionalised racism and reduced trust in healthcare services⁸. Due to the wide range of potential sources of sepsis, a whole-of-health approach addressing the social determinants of health may help reduce the elevated burden of communicable disease in Indigenous populations^{6,9}.

This research identified skin, soft tissue and orthopaedic infections as being overly represented sources of sepsis in remote Indigenous populations. Data from the national Australian and New Zealand Paediatric Intensive Care registry confirms that *Staphylococcus aureus* was the leading pathogen identified in Indigenous children with sepsis³. It is the authors' view that skin and soft tissue infection interventions suggested in the 2018 National Healthy Skin Guideline¹⁰ could reduce the disease burden of sepsis in remote Indigenous populations.

Despite the small sample size, findings suggest a 'sepsis gap' where remote Indigenous patients have greater sepsis clinical presentation scores and a younger median age, but no difference in short-term mortality rates.

This research is limited by the small sample size and lack of direct comparison data. This study does not capture mortality from sepsis in the community and long-term post-sepsis patient outcomes, which may be significant in a remote setting.

Further work is needed to understand the biochemical and

physiological manifestations of organ dysfunction in remote populations. Age-related immune-response markers are recognised in broader population groups⁵ but not well understood in Indigenous Australians, where there are known genetic differences in metabolic regulation¹¹. The impact of comorbidities on adverse outcomes in remote septic patients was also not studied. Future investigations should use APACHE scoring to investigate the impact of pre-morbid function on mortality rates and critical care admission. Longitudinal, culturally appropriate patient-reported outcomes would help understand the patient experience.

This study's data demonstrate that remote Indigenous patients with clinician-diagnosed sepsis score highly when SIRS or qSOFA based screening criteria are used. This cohort is therefore likely to be identified and escalated by current sepsis pathways. To provide timely and effective care, there is a need for future sepsis assessment tools, biomarkers and pathways relevant to remote cohorts.

To prevent delayed access to ED care it is the authors' opinion that health agencies should educate community providers and first responders to communicate in local languages on how to identify sepsis and early source control of common infections. In light of this study's findings, the effectiveness of current SIRS-based escalation processes for remote septic patients is unclear as differences in outcome do not appear to be based purely on clinical severity scoring. Closing any gaps will require a structured and coordinated approach improving trust and access to culturally safe health care (Table 3).

Table 3: Suggestions for closing the sepsis gap

How can we close the sepsis gap?	
Target ...	socioeconomic determinants of health trust-building through culturally safe practice access barriers to remote healthcare delivery including telehealth and rural workforce initiatives
Research ...	health system factors responsible for this 'sepsis gap' in order to understand and close it clinical disease severity scoring specifically for Australia's remote northern population in the form of a multi-site sepsis study directly comparing urban and remote cohorts
Educate ...	community and health providers on how to identify sepsis and the importance of early source control
Collaborate ...	by support of WHO and the Australian Sepsis Network's recommendations
Advocate ...	local, state and federal government and health agencies to close the sepsis gap

Conclusion

There is a high sepsis burden in patients presenting to remote EDs. This small, early-data study suggests that Indigenous cohorts present with worse clinical sepsis scores than non-Indigenous patients and are regarded as 'sepsis positive' by standardised clinical management pathways. They are more likely to present by ambulance, require skin and soft tissue source control, have higher qSOFA scores, lactate values and increased critical care requirements, but similar mortality rates. Further study is needed to assess the health system factors responsible for this 'sepsis gap' in order to build trust and access to culturally safe health care for all Australians.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to formally acknowledge the participation and support of the Traditional Owners of the Port Hedland area: the Kariyarra, Ngarla and Njamal people. We acknowledge the Traditional Owners of country throughout Australia and recognise their continuing connection to land, waters and culture. We pay our respects to their Elders past, present and emerging on whose land this research has been respectfully conducted. The terms 'Indigenous' and 'male' have been used in this article but the authors acknowledge there are not necessarily unanimously agreed identifiers for all people. The authors acknowledge clerical and clinical staff members at the Hedland Health Campus for

REFERENCES:

- 1** Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, Shankar-Hari M, Annane D, Bauer M, et al. The Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3). *JAMA* 2016; **315(8)**: 801-810. DOI link
- 2** Burrell AR, McLaws ML, Fullick M, Sullivan RB, Sindhusake D. Sepsis Kills: early intervention saves lives. *Medical Journal of Australia* 2016; **204(2)**: 73. DOI link
- 3** Shetty A, MacDonald SP, Williams JM, van Bockxmeer J, de Groot B, Esteve Cuevas LM, et al. Lactate ≥ 2 mmol/L plus qSOFA improves utility over qSOFA alone in emergency department patients presenting with suspected sepsis. *Emergency Medicine Australasia* 2017; **29(6)**: 626-634. DOI link
- 4** Shetty AL, Brown T, Booth T, Van KL, Dor-Shiffer DE, Vaghasiya MR, et al. Systemic inflammatory response syndrome-based severe sepsis screening algorithms in emergency department patients with suspected sepsis. *Emergency Medicine Australasia* 2016; **28(3)**: 287-294. DOI link
- 5** . Davis J, Cheng A, McMillian M, Humphrey A, Stephens D, Anstey N. Sepsis in the tropical Top End of Australia's Northern Territory: disease burden and impact on Indigenous Australians. *Medical Journal of Australia* 2011; **194(16)**: 519-524. DOI link
- 6** Ostrowski J, MacLaren G, Alexander J, Stewart P, Gune S, Francis J, et al. The burden of invasive infections in critically ill Indigenous children in Australia. *Medical Journal of Australia* 2017; **206(2)**: 78-84. DOI link
- 7** Australian Bureau of Statistics. *Australian social trends, 2015*. 2015. Available: [web link](#) (Accessed 5 September 2017).
- 8** Gracey M, King M. Indigenous health part 1: determinants and disease patterns. *Lancet* 2009; **374(9683)**: 65-75. DOI link
- 9** Palasanthiran P, Bowen AC. The excess burden of severe sepsis in Indigenous Australian children: can anything be done? *Medical Journal of Australia* 2017; **206(2)**: 71-72. DOI link
- 10** Bowen A, May P, Tong S, Currie B, Andrews R, Steer A, et al. *National healthy skin guideline*. 2018. Available: [web link](#) (Accessed 1 July 2019).
- 11** Liyanage K, Burnett J, Hooper A, van Bockxmeer F. Familial hypercholesterolemia: epidemiology, Neolithic origins and modern geographic distribution. *Critical Reviews in Clinical Laboratory Sciences* 2011; **48(1)**: 1-18. DOI link